

Rock County
Evidence-Based Decision Making Ad Hoc Committee
Wednesday, May 20, 2015 minutes
Conference Room N-1/N-2

- 1) Call to Order. Chair Nelson called the meeting to order at 9:19 AM.
- 2) Committee Members Present. Larry Barton, Commander Erik Chellevoid, Judge James Daley, Troy Enger, Chief Norman Jacobs, Charmian Klyve, Vice Chair Sandra Kraft, Elizabeth Krueger, Stephen Meyer, Angela Moore, Eric Nelson, David O'Leary, Josh Smith, then Chief David Moore at 9:37 A.M., Judge Richard Werner at 9:55 A.M. and Judge Alan Bates at 11:15 A.M.
- 3) Committee Members Absent. Judge William Henderson and Sheriff Robert Spoden.
- 4) Staff Members Present. Elizabeth Pohlman McQuillen, Criminal Justice System Planner/Analyst and Tracey VanZandt, HR Secretary.
- 5) Others Present. Tommy Gubbin, EBDM State Coordinator; Dorothy Harrell, NAACP.
- 6) Approval of Agenda. Ms. Klyve moved approval of the agenda, second by Mr. Enger. ADOPTED.
- 7) Discussion with Mimi Carter regarding Evidence-Based Decision Making Initiative. The group started by reviewing the results of the collaboration survey that was administered at the last meeting. There were several observations made as far as the results being encouraging so early in the process. Trust, communication and collaboration were all rated favorably. Most of the organizational questions were unfavorable scores due to the fact that we are early in the process and the group does not know what to expect. This survey will be re-administered at strategic intervals over the next year. It was noted that there is very little diversity on our committee. This issue was also raised at our first meeting and as a result, the group has already reached out to one new potential member. Further discussion is warranted to assure that the group is well represented. The issue was placed in the "Parking Lot" for further discussion at a later time.
- 8) Values. Each member was asked what values they believe should drive our Criminal Justice System and, by extension, their own agencies. See the attached printout for the committee's list of values. **ACTION NEEDED:** Steve Meyer, Josh Smith and Elizabeth Pohlman McQuillen to go over the committee's list of values and synthesize them, and bring a revised draft to the June workshop for the committee's review.

- 9) Develop a Team Charter. The group was polled on if we wanted to create a team charter as a committee or Elizabeth and Mimi can sit down outside of our meeting and create one and bring back to the June meeting for approval by the committee. ACTION NEEDED: Elizabeth and Mimi to create team charter (by-laws) and bring to June workshop.
- 10) Roadmap/Timetable for EBDM. Mimi provided a timetable handout so committee knows approximately what to expect from each meeting. This is a guideline; the way the work unfolds will be customized to meet the group's needs.
- 11) Vision Statement. Each member was asked to provide key concepts that they would like to see in our group's vision statement. Our vision should be a picture of the desired future. See the attached printout for committee's list of vision ideas. ACTION NEEDED: Each member to write an EBDM vision statement and bring to June workshop.
- 12) Data Collection Template. At the end of our April meeting, Mimi had handed out a data collection template. The purpose of the template is to begin to understand the kinds of data we are interested in having available for policymaking, and the data that is (and isn't) available. We discussed the data available at the Arrest and Pretrial decision points. See attached printout for data that is available or that we would like to see. ACTION NEEDED: Commander Chellevoid and Josh Smith to contact Dara in IT to see if he can provide data for last three years for our arrest decision point's data. Elizabeth to bring previously collected CJCC data to June workshop.
- 13) Citizen Participation and Announcements. None.
- 14) Future Meeting Date: Wednesday, August 5, 2015 9:15 A.M. - 12:15 P.M., Conference Room N1/N2, Fifth Floor Courthouse East
- 15) Adjournment. Mr. Smith moved adjournment at 11:47 P.M., second by Mr. O'Leary. ADOPTED.

Respectfully Submitted,

Tracey VanZandt

HR Secretary

NOT OFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY COMMITTEE.

VALUES

- Sensitivity to the poor and powerless
- Honesty—the system itself and the people in the system—basis upon why making a decision
- Impartiality, non-biased
- Fairness—not that everyone treated the same but treated similarly with similar circumstances
- Prioritization based on safety—keep community/victim safety in mind
- Transparency—know what we've done and why we've done it
- At each decision point, use EBPs including data, research, EBP decision-making processes
- Accountability—for participants and professionals in justice system
- Efficiency—getting a job done in most efficient way in timing, cost, manpower
- People treated with dignity and respect throughout the process—victims, family members, all inclusive
- Focus on rehabilitation—seeing something positive happen out of interaction with justice system
- System with goals—goal-driven
- Consistency/predictability—people understand what is going to happen
- Appreciation of differences
- Respectful
- Awareness of real effects of our policies—direct and ripple effects
- Objective—Non-Emotion based decision making—operate based on facts
- Collaboration
- Data-driven decisions
- Operating under a “service” idea—all pockets of the system
- Get biggest bang for the buck—cost/benefit analysis

- Education/continues learning of those that participate in the process—professionals and staff
- Presumption of innocence until proven guilty
- Using trauma-informed lens
- Proactive
- Alignment—parts of the system need to work together
- Systematic review of changes made—needs to be built into the system
- Integrity—public faith in the system
- Effective communication between the systems/agencies
- Determining early whether people have treatment needs or can be served in an alternative way (ie. Getting people into treatment courts earlier or a deferred prosecution)

Vision Statement

- Fair and impartial
- Responsiveness
- Data-driven decisions
- Helpful
- Evidence-Based Practices
- Well-functioning
- Seeking Justice
- Early identification of risk and greater array of pre and post conviction services
- Harm reduction
- Collaboratively moving forward to create a roadmap for EBPs
- Commitment to justice for all
- Justice
- Rock County—an ideal CJS that's idealistic, fair, and pragmatic and accountable to the citizens of the county
- Appropriate outcomes for offenders and victims
- Collaborative effort of stakeholders
- Efficiency
- Creating a learning organization—how apply what we learn

Data

Arrest

What do you want to know:

- Follow Eau Claire model re: diverting low risk offenders before get into the system. Need to know how many people may fall into this in Rock County. Don't know risk level at arrest. (now also done in Mesa County, CO)
- How do you factor in racial profiling?
- Aggregate # of stops and how many of those stops result in arrests
- Methods that result in police contact: police called/dispatched, warrant, spontaneous contact, pretext stops—would have to read report to determine type of police contact (not data easily collectable)
- Relationship with criminal arrests and alcohol/drug use/mental illness (if taken to jail, a Brief Jail Mental Health Screen is done to possibly ID mental illness)
(not easy to ID mental health) (not collecting AODA data)

Page 2 of data template:

Number of Police Departments in Rock County: Evansville, Edgerton, Clinton, Orfordville, Milton, Town of Milton, Town of Beloit, City of Beloit, City of Janesville, Town Fulton, Sheriff's Office, Town of Turtle, Footville (thru Sheriff's Office), State Patrol, DNR

- Spillman used by most jurisdictions—IT/Dara to get aggregate data? Ask Dara to get this information last 3 years. Want to know number of reported crimes.
- UCR data easier to get

- Jail still using Offendertrak, but Dara can mine data from this system, also
- How many stop but no arrest?—through 911?
- What is arrest: probable cause of crime—doesn't always mean taken into custody (either citation or take to jail)—want breakdown of citation vs. taken into custody (what's driving that decision—something to look into eventually)
- Breakdown of adult vs. juvenile arrests
- General demographics of stops and arrests

Pretrial

What do you want to know:

- ADP of pretrial population in the jail
- LOS in jail for pretrial
- No info on risk level
- Bring pretrial data presented to CJCC couple years ago
- Commissioner data through CCAP: how many preliminary hearings, how many prelims waived,
- Who pulls CCAP data?: Clerk of Courts accounting system (Lori Bielima)
- Ask for data from last 3 years (2012, 2013, 2014)—judges to ask Lori what info we can get
- What's happening to people while out on ROR?
- Failure to appear?